

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/786,549	PRIEWE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carlos A. Azpuru	1615	

All Participants:

Status of Application: abandoned

(1) Carlos A. Azpuru.

(3) _____.

(2) James E. Ruland.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 September 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr Ruland was called to confirm that no response was filed to the advisory action of 08/11/2004. It was verified that no papers had been filed in response to the advisory action.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)